

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Ian Coley Email/Phone: msri@iancoley.org

Speaker's Name: Georg Tamme

Talk Title: On the K-theory of pullbacks

Date: 3 / 27 / 19 Time: 11 : 30 am / pm (circle one)

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences:

Milnor squares of rings have some nice properties, including a long exact sequence that unfortunately stops at K_1 .

To extend it beyond K_1 , they pass to E_1 -ring-spectra and enhance the theory thereby.

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

ON THE K-THEORY OF PULLBACKS

GEORG TAMME

Joint with M. Land.

Definition 1. A square of (possibly commutative) rings (we'll denote \square) of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

is called a *Milnor square* if it is a pullback square and the vertical maps are surjective.

Theorem 2 (Excision; Bass, Milnor, Murthy '60s). If \square is a Milnor square, then there exists a long exact sequence on K-groups

$$K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A') \oplus K_1(B) \rightarrow K_1(B') \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

continuing infinitely to the right.

But it does not continue to the left – can we extend it?

Theorem 3 (Swan '71). There is no functor $\tilde{K}_2: \mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ that would extend that sequence to the left.

It turns out that we can go to the left, but we have to change B' . To do so, we allow arbitrary \mathbb{E}_1 -rings. Then a Milnor square of \mathbb{E}_1 -rings will just be a pullback square.

Let $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{perf}}$ be the category of small, idempotent complete stable ∞ -categories. $\text{Perf}(A)$ is in this category for any \mathbb{E}_1 -ring A .

Definition 4. (1) A sequence $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{perf}}$ is *exact* if $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is fully faithful, the composite is zero, and $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence.
(2) A functor $E: \mathbf{Cat}^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ is a *localizing invariant* if it sends exact sequences to (co)fiber sequences.

Notes by Ian Coley.

Example 5. Nonconnective K-theory, THH, TC.

Now, fix k a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring for our base, so we will work with \mathbb{E}_1 - k -algebras instead.

Theorem 6 (L-T). Any pullback square \square in \mathbb{E}_1 - k -algebras gives rise to a new commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A' & \longrightarrow & A' \odot_A^{B'} B \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 & & B'
 \end{array}$$

such that any localizing invariant sends the inside square to a pullback square in Sp and the underlying spectrum of $A' \odot_A^{B'} B$ is $A' \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B$.

Example 7. If \square is a Milnor square, we can boost it up to a pullback square of discrete \mathbb{E}_1 -rings. Then

$$\pi_i(A' \odot_A^{B'} B) = \mathrm{Tor}_A^i(A', B)$$

so, in particular, $\pi_0(A' \odot_A^{B'} B) = B'$.

Thus what we're getting is some thickening of our original picture.

Definition 8. A localizing invariant E is *truncating* if $E(A) \rightarrow E(\pi_0 A)$ is an equivalence for any connective \mathbb{E}_1 - k -algebra A .

Corollary 9. Any truncating invariant D satisfies excision and nil-invariance, i.e. $E(A) \rightarrow E(A/I)$ is an equivalence for any discrete ring A and nil-ideal $I \subset A$.

Proof. For excision, that $\pi_0(A' \odot_A^{B'} B) \rightarrow \pi_0(B')$ is an equivalence is enough (following the reasoning along). For nil-invariance, we may assume that $I \subset A$ is square-zero (by induction). Then we have the following pullback square in \mathbb{E}_1 -rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & A/I \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A/I & \longrightarrow & A/I \oplus \Sigma I
 \end{array}$$

but $\pi_0(A/I \odot_A^{A/I \oplus \Sigma I} A/I) = A/I$ so applying E to that diagram and using truncating gives us enough equivalences to prove nil-invariance. \square

Example 10. Let's now look at examples of truncating invariants, along with the original folks who proved the corollary in the specific cases:

- $HP(-/\mathbb{Q})$ on \mathbb{Q} -algebras, proven truncating by Goodwillie. Corollary proven by Cuntz-Quillen.
- $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{inf}} = \text{fib}(K_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow HN(- \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}))$, proven truncating by Goodwillie. Corollary proven by Cortiñas.
- $K^{\text{inv}} = \text{fib}(K \rightarrow TC)$, proven truncating by Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy. Corollary proved by Geisser-Hesselholt and Dundas-Kittang.
- $L_{K(1)}K$ on \mathbb{Z}/N -algebras for $N > 0$, proven truncating by L-T and Meier. As an application, using the corollary we have $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p^n) \simeq L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p)$, where p is the prime implicit in the $K(1)$ -localization. Quillen showed that $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p) \simeq 0$.

Now, K-theory is not truncating, but:

Lemma 11 (Waldhausen). If $C \rightarrow C'$ is an n -connective map of connective \mathbb{E}_1 -rings ($n \geq 1$), then $K(C) \rightarrow K(C')$ is $(n + 1)$ -connective.

Corollary 12. If our square \square is a Milnor square and $\text{Tor}_A^i(A', B) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, then $A' \odot_A^{B'} \rightarrow B'$ is n -connective and thus

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(A) & \longrightarrow & K(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(A') & \longrightarrow & K(B') \end{array}$$

is n -cartesian (basically the definition). So concretely, the original long exact sequence can be extended backwards to K_n .

Remark 13. This implies the result of Suslin on excision, which depended on a slightly stronger vanishing condition on Tor .

Proof. (of the main theorem) Considering a span $\mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{B}' \xleftarrow{q} \mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{perf}}$, we can take its lax pullback (aka comma category). *Editorial remark:* Tamme denotes this category $\mathcal{A}' \overrightarrow{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B}$ but it is more commonly denoted (p/q) or $p \downarrow q$ in higher category theory, and we will use (p/q) for brevity.

Concretely, its objects are $a' \in \mathcal{A}', b \in \mathcal{B}$ with a map $f: p(a') \rightarrow q(b)$ in \mathcal{B}' . Its morphisms are induced by those in \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 14. There is a split exact sequence

$$\mathcal{B} \rightarrow (p/q) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$$

where this first map is the inclusion $b \mapsto (0, b, 0)$ and the second is the projection. The splittings are given by the projection and the inclusion $a' \mapsto (a', 0, 0)$ respectively.

Thus for any localizing invariant, $E(p/q) \simeq E(\mathcal{A}') \oplus E(\mathcal{B})$ in a canonical way.

Now, consider our original square \square in \mathbb{E}_1 -rings. Applying $\text{Perf}(-)$ we end up in $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{perf}}$ and we get a map $i: \text{Perf}(A) \rightarrow (\text{Perf}(p)/\text{Perf}(q))$. We have that i is fully faithful if and only if \square is a pullback.

Now, let $Q = \text{cof}(i)$, concretely the idempotent completion of the Verdier quotient. This we get a cartesian square in spectra after applying some localizing invariant E , where we will write $E(C)$ for $E(\text{Perf}(C))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(A) & \longrightarrow & E(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E(A') & \longrightarrow & E(Q) \end{array}$$

The claim is that $Q = \text{Perf}(\text{something})$ that we can actually write down. Well, as Q is the quotient of the comma category $(\text{Perf}(p), \text{Perf}(q))$, it is generated by the images of the generators of $\text{Perf}(A')$ and $\text{Perf}(B)$, namely $(A', 0, 0)$ and $(0, B, 0)$. Call these elements $\overline{A'}, \overline{B} \in Q$.

Claim: \overline{B} generated Q . Actually, either one does, but it's easier to see it this way. There is a fibre sequence in Q of the form

$$(0, B, 0) \rightarrow i(A) = (A', B, \text{can}) \rightarrow (A', 0, 0)$$

so in Q we have that \overline{B} is a shift of $\overline{A'}$ so we only need one of them to generate the whole thing. Using Schwede-Shipley recognition principle, we have that $Q \simeq \text{Perf}(\text{End}_Q(\overline{B}))$. Thus we declare $A' \odot_A^{B'} B := \text{End}_Q(\overline{B})$ as an \mathbb{E}_1 -ring.

The final computation that π_0 is just B' comes from passing to Ind-completions and computing using the big categories. \square

Example 15. Let k be any discrete ring, then we have a cartesian square in rings (and \mathbb{E}_1 -rings)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \longrightarrow & k[t^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k[t] & \longrightarrow & k[t, t^{-1}] \end{array}$$

where all maps are inclusions.

Proposition 16. $k[t] \odot_k^{k[t, t^{-1}]} k[t^{-1}] = k\langle x, y \rangle / (yx = 1)$, the noncommutative polynomial algebra with the given relation. This is also known as the Toeplitz ring over k , \mathcal{T}_k .

As an application, there is an exact sequence of nonunital rings

$$0 \rightarrow M(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_k \rightarrow k[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$$

where $M(k)$ is the colimit of $M_n(k)$. Applying any localizing invariant, we get that $E(M(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_k)$ is nullhomotopic. Applying the machinery above and Morita invariance, we get a fibre sequence

$$E(M(k)) \simeq E(k) \xrightarrow{0} E(\mathcal{T}_k) \rightarrow E(k[t, t^{-1}])$$

and by the main theorems, this allows us to identify

$$E(\mathcal{T}_k) \simeq E(k) \oplus NE(k) \oplus NE(k)$$

where $NE(k) = \text{cof}(E(k) \rightarrow E(k[t, t^{-1}]))$. Then

$$E(k[t, t^{-1}]) \simeq E(k) \oplus NE(k) \oplus NE(k) \oplus \Sigma E(k)$$

so it's some other form of the Fundamental Theorem of K-theory.

Example 17. We have another cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[x, y]/(xy) & \longrightarrow & k[y] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k[x] & \longrightarrow & k \end{array}$$

where the maps are the quotients you think they are, giving $k[x] \odot_{k[x, y]/(xy)}^k k[y] \simeq k[t]$ with $|t| = 2$.

Example 18. The cocartesian square in spectra yields a cartesian one when applying $\text{Map}(-, k)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^0 & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & S^1 \end{array} \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{array}{ccc} k^{S^1} & \longrightarrow & k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k & \longrightarrow & k \times k \end{array}$$

and in this case, $k \odot_{k^{S^1}}^{k \times k} k \simeq k[t]$ (the usual one). This is helpful for computing $K(k^{S^1})$.