

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Tony Feng Email/Phone: tonyfeng@stanford.edu

Speaker's Name: Karl Schwede

Talk Title: Birational algebraic geometry in positive characteristic

Date: 2 / 5 / 19 Time: 3: 30 am / pm (circle one)

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: _____

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

BIRATIONAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

KARL SCHWEDE

1. RECAP

We begin by recalling a prototypical argument from last time.

Let X be a normal CM variety over a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $H \subset X$ be a reduced Cartier divisor. We have $F_*^e \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X$ (dual to $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$). This induces

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X(H) \rightarrow \omega_H \rightarrow 0.$$

Let L be an ample line bundle on X . We have an exact sequence

$$H^0(\omega_X(L)) \longrightarrow H^0(\omega_X(H+L)) \longrightarrow H^0(\omega_H(L|_H)) \longrightarrow H^1(\omega_X(L))$$

but since we are not in characteristic 0, "we don't know that the map $H^0(\omega_X(H+L)) \rightarrow H^0(\omega_H(L|_H))$ is surjective.

However, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(\omega_X(L)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\omega_X(H+L)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\omega_H(L|_H)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\omega_X(L)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^0(F_*^e \omega_X(p^e L)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(F_*^e \omega_X(H+p^e L)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(F_*^e \omega_H(p^e L|_H)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_*^e \omega_X(p^e L)) = 0 \end{array}$$

If X is Frobenius-split, then the map $H^0(F_*^e \omega_H(p^e L|_H)) \rightarrow H^0(\omega_H(L|_H))$ is surjective, so we get the desired surjectivity.

If $F_*^e \omega_H \rightarrow \omega_H$ is not surjective, then the sections of $H^0(\omega_H(L|_H))$ not in the image of $H^0(F_*^e \omega_H)$ cannot be lifted via our argument.

Today we will discuss local obstructions to this surjectivity.

2. FROBENIUS SPLITTING

First we discuss some non-obvious ways of getting Frobenius splittings.

Theorem 2.1 (Kunz). *Let Y be a variety over $k = k^p$. Then Y is regular if and only if $F_*^e \mathcal{O}_Y$ is locally free for some (equivalently, all) $e > 0$.*

Suppose you have a surjective projection (not necessarily a Frobenius splitting) $F_*^e \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. There is a map $F_*^e \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ sending $1 \mapsto r$. Then we have the map $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ sending $1 \mapsto 1$.

Conclusion: any map $F_*^e \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ gives a Frobenius splitting.

Date: February 4, 2019.

3. FROBENIUS DIVISOR

Definition 3.1. Let R be a normal local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Frobenius is finite. Suppose you have a non-zero map $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F_*^e R, R) = F_*^e \omega_R^{\otimes(1-p^e)} = F_*^e R((1-p^e)K_R)$. The map φ determines $D_\varphi \sim (1-p^e)K_R$. Normalize with respect to p, e :

$$\Delta_\varphi := \frac{1}{p^e - 1} D_\varphi \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} -K_R$$

i.e. $K_R + \Delta_\varphi \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} 0$.

Why is Δ_φ interesting?

- (1) To get improved vanishing statements in characteristic 0.
- (2) To cook up sections of $\omega_X \otimes L$.
- (3) To keep track of canonical divisors as you change varieties.

Example 3.2. If you have a finite surjective map $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ between normal varieties in characteristic $p > 0$, and $\varphi: F_*^e \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$, we have

$$K_Z = f^* K_Y + \text{ram}.$$

We can rewrite this as

$$K_Z + \underbrace{(f^* \Delta_\varphi - \text{ram})}_{=: \Delta_Z} = f^*(K_Y + \Delta_\varphi)$$

Assume further that f is generically separable. It turns out that Δ_Z corresponds to the unique extension of φ to $\varphi_Z: F_*^e \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$. (It exists if and only if $\Delta_Z \geq 0$.) This could be useful to getting a Frobenius splitting.

4. LOG-CANONICAL PAIRS

What if we just have a birational map? Suppose $\pi: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a proper birational map. Then we can write

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}} = \pi^*(K_Y + \Delta_\varphi).$$

Definition 4.1. (Y, Δ_Y) is *log-canonical* if when I write

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}} = \pi^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y)$$

(for any birational map π), the coefficients of $\Delta_{\tilde{Y}} \leq 1$.

It turns out that the condition that the coefficients of $\Delta_\varphi \leq 1$ is equivalent to φ being surjective in codimension 1.

Theorem 4.2 (Hara-Watanabe, Smith-Schwede). *If R is F -split, then there exists $\Delta_\varphi \geq 0$ such that (R, Δ_φ) is log-canonical.*

Corollary 4.3. *If (R, Δ) is a pair over \mathbf{Q} and $K_R + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier, with the property that the pair reduced modulo p is F -pure for infinitely many p , then (R, Δ) is log-canonical.*

5. F -RATIONAL RINGS

Definition 5.1. We say R is F -rational if:

- (1) $F_*^e \omega_R \rightarrow \omega_R$ has no stable submodules.
- (2) R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Compare to the definition of rationality: R is Cohen-Macaulay and $\pi_* \omega_{\tilde{Y}} = \omega_Y$.

Theorem 5.2 (Smith). F -rational implies rational in characteristic p .

Proof. $\pi_* \omega_{\tilde{Y}} \subset \omega_Y$ is stable under $F_*^e \omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_Y$. □

Theorem 5.3 (Hara-Mehta-Srinivas). R has rational singularities in characteristic 0 if and only if $R \pmod{p}$ has F -rational singularities for a Zariski-dense set of p .

It turns out that we have some ways of checking whether varieties in characteristic p are F -pure, F -rational, F -split, etc.

Example 5.4. A cone over an F -split variety is F -pure.

Theorem 5.5 (Fedder). Let $R = S/I$ where $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then R is F -pure at a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} if and only if $I^{[p^e]} : I$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}$.

Here $I^{[p^e]}$ is the ideal generated by p^e th powers of elements of I and

$$I : J := \{r \in R : rJ \subset I\}$$

Example 5.6. Consider $f = zy^2 - x(x - \lambda z)(x + z)$, which cuts out a cone over an elliptic curve. We want to know if $f^{p-1} \in (x^p, y^p, z^p)$? The issue is the coefficient of $x^{p-1}y^{p-1}z^{p-1}$, which is basically the Hasse invariant. So we recover the fact that the cone over an ordinary elliptic curve is F -pure; recall Example 5.4 and the fact that ordinary abelian varieties are F -split.